

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/052,908	10/29/2001	Waheguru Pal Singh	LYNN/120.A	LYNN/120.A 9750	
;	7590 07/24/2003				
Jeffrey L. Streets STREETS & STEELE 13831 Northwest Fwy., Ste. 355			EXAMINER		
			QAZI, SABIHA NAIM		
Houston, TX	77040		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1616	12	
			DATE MAILED: 07/24/2003	10	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/052,908	SINGH ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sabiha Qazi	1616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover shet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 01 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice i) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in	ı ıued			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the state of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mother and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in the statutory period for the sta	the final rejection. FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee. The appropriate extension fee final Office action; or (2) as set	n fee e under forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	•					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifyi	ng the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of t	inally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s): <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amend	ment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NOT place	e the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	у			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>26-37,40-42 and 44</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
B. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	 				
10. ☐ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		5.05				
		NHA QAZI, PH.D NARY EXAMINER				

`{

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Since the claims are amended, 112 (1) and (2) rejection are withdrawn.

Continuation of 10. Other: Rejection under 103 is maintained because compositions as claimed are considered obvious for the same reason as set forth in our previous office action. It would have been obvious to prepare additional beneficial compositions as disclosed by the prior art. Even if prior does not teach use for sterilization of the composition, the two different intended uses are not distinguishable in terms of the composition, see In re Thuau, 57 USPQ 324; Ex parte Douros, 163 USPQ 667; and In re Craige, 89 USPQ 393. There is nothing inventive in a composition of old ingradients of known properties with each ingradient individually as expected. In re Sussaman. 58 USPQ 262...